(65)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 3084 of 2007.

Petitioners

1. Balram Mishra, S/o. Shri Bhajman Mishra, aged about 45 years, Assistant Teacher, Presently posted at Primary School, Chaidoria, Block Ghargoda, District Raigarh (C.G.)

2. Sundarmani Kondh, S/o. Shri Thandaram Kondh, aged 43 years, Assistant Teacher, Presently posted at Primary School, Sumra, Block Ghargoda, District Raigarh (C.G.)

3. Akhilesh Kumar Mishra, S/o. Shri Gopinath Mishra, aged 43 years, Assistant Teacher, Presently posted at Middle School, Raifera, Block Ghargoda, District Raigarh (C.G.)

4. Jailal Sav, S/o. Mangal Prasad Sav, aged about 44 years, Assistant Teacher, Presently posted at Primary School, Chaidoria, Block Ghargoda, District Raigarh (C.G.)

5. Sanjay Kumar Bahidar, S/o. Shri Vishikeshan Bahidar, aged about 42 years, Assistant Teacher, Presently posted at Middle School, Kotrimaal, Block Ghargoda, District Raigarh (C.G.)

Vs.

Respondents

1. State of Chhattisgarh – Through the Secretary, School Education Department, D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. District Education Officer, Raigarh, District Raigharh (C.G.)

Petition under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India.

Single Bench: **Hon'ble Shri Justice Satish K. Agnihotri**

Present: Shri Maneesh Sharma, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Vinay Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 11th May, 2007)

(66)

The question of law involved herein is as to whether the petitioners are entitled to regular pay scale w.e.f. the date of initial appointment or from the date of completion of probation period.

(2) Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same as to that of in W.P.(S) No.5976/2006 **(Sushil Tiwari and others Vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & Others)**, which was allowed vide order dated 07.11.2006.

(3) In view of the above stated submission made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is allowed in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge